

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/779,605	02/09/2001	Kazumi Miyamoto	01029	5732
23338	7590 01/25/2002			
DENNISON, SCHEINER SCHULTZ & WAKEMAN 612 CRYSTAL SQUARE FOUR 1745 JEFFERSON DAVIS HIGHWAY			EXAMINER	
			PRASAD, CHANDRIKA	
ARLINGTO	N, VA 22202-3417		, ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2839	
			DATE MAILED: 01/25/2002	2

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			N				
Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/779,605	MIYAMOTO ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Chandrika Prasad	2839				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status							
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08 J	lanuary 2002 .					
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Thi	is action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4) 🖾	Claim(s) $\underline{4}$ and $\underline{5}$ is/are pending in the applicat	ion.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>4 and 5</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
•	he oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)							
2) 🔲 Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/779,605

Art Unit: 2839

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 12/05/01 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 4-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant's admitted prior art (AAPA) in view of Cherian et al. and Ford.

AAPA (Figures 3 and 4 of the instant invention) shows an electromagnetic sound generator with a pair of spring plates coated with Nickel and having a base end connecting portion 24, an intermediate portion, a branch portion making an L-shaped with the intermediate portion and extending into a head end connecting portion. But AAPA does not show the branch portion extended away from the other plate and turned into an U-shape, and gold plated at the head end and the base end connecting portions. Cherian (Figures 2-3) shows a nickel-plated spring plate terminal 18 with a branch portion 86 turned into an U-shape with a head end-connecting portion 82 wherein the terminal ends are coated with gold. But Cherian does not show the branch portion of one terminal extending away from

Application/Control Number: 09/779,605

Art Unit: 2839

the other terminal. The instant invention does not provide any reasons or problems to be solved by the branch portion of one terminal extending away from the other terminal. Ford (Figure 6) shows the branch portion 176 of one terminal extending away from the other terminal. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the

instant invention to modify AAPA's terminals as shown by Cherian and Ford because this

would provide a more flexible terminal with good conductive and non-resistant properties.

Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure. Billman et al also shows a nickel-plated spring plate terminal 18 with a base end

connecting portion 24, an intermediate portion, a branch portion 22 making an L-shape with

the intermediate section turned into an U-shape with a head end connecting portion 20

wherein the terminal ends are coated with gold.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 4-5 have been considered but are moot

in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Contact Information

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Chandrika Prasad at (703) 308-0977. If attempts to reach

the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Sircus, can

be reached at (703) 308-3119. The fax number for this Group is (703) 308-7722. Any

inquiry of a general nature should be directed to the Group receptionist at (703) 308-1782.

Chandrika Prasad

January 21, 2002

BRIAN SIRCUS

Page 3

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINAL.

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800